

RGL Home

Airworthiness Directive

Federal Register Information

▼Header InformationDEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39 [63 FR 59460 No. 213 11/04/98]

Docket No. 97-CE-138-AD; Amendment 39-10865; AD 98-23-02

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Cessna Models 180, 180A, 180B, 180C, 180D, 180E, 180F,180G, 180H, 180J, 180K, 185, 185A, 185B, 185C, 185D, 185E, A185E and A185F Airplanes

PDF Copy (If Available):

▼Preamble Information

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT

ACTION: Final rule

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes Airworthiness Directive (AD) 80-10-01, which applies to certain Cessna Aircraft Company (Cessna) 180 and 185 series airplanes that have either Airglas Engineering Company, Inc., (AECI) Model LW3600-180 (single position) or Model LW3600-180A (two position) fixed penetration wheel skis installed in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) SA213AL. AD 80-10-01 requires modifying the ski bungee assemblies, safety cables, and check cables, and their attachments to the airplane and the skis; limiting the maximum airspeed to 160 knots with skis installed; and installing an airspeed limitation placard. This AD is the result of field reports of incidents occurring on the affected airplanes that were in compliance with AD 80-10-01, and the fact that Cessna Model 180K airplanes were inadvertently left out of the existing AD. This AD retains the actions required by AD 80-10-01; requires re-marking the airspeed indicator to display the reduced airspeed limits and placing a certain airplane flight manual (AFM) supplement in the cockpit; and adds Cessna Model 180K airplanes to the Applicability section of the AD. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent one or both wheel skis from rotating into a nose-down position during flight, which could

result in loss of control of the airplane and/or possible airplane damage during flight or landing operations.

DATES: Effective December 22, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from **Airglas** Engineering Company, Inc., P.O. Box 190107, Anchorage, Alaska 99519-0107; telephone: (907) 344-1450; facsimile: (907) 349-4938. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-CE-138-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gordon K. Mandell, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Anchorage Aircraft Certification Office, 222 West 7th Avenue, #14, Room 128, Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7587; telephone: (907) 271-2670; facsimile: (907) 271-6365.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Events Leading to the Issuance of This AD

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that applies to certain Cessna 180 and 185 series airplanes that have either **Airglas** Engineering Company, Inc., (AECI) Model LW3600-180 (single position) or Model LW3600-180A (two position) fixed penetration wheel **skis** installed in accordance with STC SA213AL was published in the **Federal Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on June 26, 1998 (63 FR 34833). The NPRM proposed to supersede AD 80-10-01, Amendment 39-3762, which currently requires modifying the ski bungee assemblies, safety cables, and check cables, and their attachments to the airplane and the **skis**; limiting the maximum airspeed to 160 knots with **skis** installed; and installing an airspeed limitation placard. The NPRM proposed to require the following:

Modifying the ski bungee assemblies, safety cables, and check cables, and their attachments to the airplane and the **skis**;

Installing a placard adjacent to the airspeed indicator limiting the never exceed speed to 160 knots with the **skis** installed;

Re-marking the airspeed indicator to display the reduced never exceed speed (160 KIAS) and the reduced maximum structural cruising speed (139 KIAS) with the **skis** installed; and

Placing AECI Document No. AE97-13FM, "Supplemental Airplane Flight Manual and Airplane Flight Manual Supplement", dated October 10, 1997, in the airplane cockpit.

Accomplishment of the proposed actions as specified in the NPRM would be required in accordance with AECI Service Bulletin (SB) No. LW3600-3, originally

issued: September 21, 1979; Amended: October 10, 1997; AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-1 and -2, Revision "B", dated September 21, 1979; AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-3, Revision "A", dated April 30, 1979; AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180, Revision "F", dated September 21, 1979 (for single position wheel ski installations) or AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A, Revision "E", dated September 21, 1979 (for two position wheel ski installations); AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-11, originally issued: September 21, 1979; and AECI Document AE97-13FM, "Supplemental Airplane Flight Manual and Airplane Flight Manual Supplement", dated October 10, 1997.

The NPRM was the result of field reports of incidents occurring on the affected airplanes that were in compliance with AD 80-10-01, and the fact that Cessna Model 180K airplanes were inadvertently left out of the existing AD.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the following comments received.

Comment Disposition

The one commenter states that requiring re-marking of the airspeed indicator to display the reduced airspeed limits will not fix the problem because airplane operators that ignore placard requirements will also ignore the airspeed indicator requirements. This commenter operates one of the affected airplanes with **skis** for 6 months out of each year.

The commenter states that, if the proposed AD is adopted, he will have to accomplish pitot and static pressure checks required by current regulation every 6 months when he changes the airspeed indicator during ski installation and removal.

The commenter also states that **skis** are similar to other devices, such as landing gear, flaps, ramps, and doors that are affixed to or incorporated into aircraft. The commenter states that the maximum speed at which the other devices can be extended or opened in flight are specified only by placards and not by markings on the airspeed indicator.

The commenter goes on to state that, based on the above information, the costs of installing and removing the **skis** will become extremely high, and the commenter asks the FAA to remove the requirement of re-marking the airspeed indicator to display the reduced airspeed limits.

The FAA does not concur that the requirement in the proposed AD of re-marking the airspeed indicator is not justified.

The FAA's intent of requiring that the airspeed indicator be re-marked is not to provide another airspeed limit indication for certain pilots to ignore, but to provide consistent indications of airspeed limits, i.e., to eliminate the confusion generated by having the information in an airspeed limitation placard contradicting the airspeed indicator.

The proposed AD requires re-marking the airspeed indicator, but does not specifically require removing the existing airspeed indicator and replacing it with one marked differently. If the existing airspeed indicator is left in place and re-marked, no pitot and static pressure checks would be required. Each airplane owner/operator has the choice of changing the markings of the airspeed indicator either by replacing the airspeed indicator or by re-marking the existing airspeed indicator without removing it.

The FAA understands that an owner/operator who decides to replace the airspeed indicator will have to accomplish pitot and static pressure checks each time the airspeed indicator is replaced and that there are costs involved with this. However, the FAA has determined that the safety benefits of eliminating the confusion caused when the airspeed indicator contradicts current placards far outweigh this burden.

In addition, the FAA does not consider **skis** similar to other devices, such as landing gear, flaps, ramps, and doors that are affixed to or incorporated into aircraft. The compared items are all ones that can be extended or opened and retracted or closed during flight. On the other hand, the installation of **skis** on aircraft changes the aircraft's configuration until the **skis** are removed. Fixed penetration wheel **skis** cannot be extended or opened and then retracted or closed.

The commenter's assertion that the maximum speed at which wing flaps can be extended in flight is not specified by airspeed indicator markings is incorrect. The range of airspeeds over which the flaps of a small airplane can be extended in flight is specified by a white arc on the airspeed indicator. The upper end of the white arc is the maximum speed at which the flaps can be extended.

No changes have been made to the final rule as a result of these comments.

The FAA's Determination

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not impose any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 170 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 4 workhours per airplane to accomplish this action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts cost approximately \$350 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$100,300, or \$590 per airplane.

AECI has informed the FAA that approximately 12 of the affected airplanes have the modification required by this AD already incorporated. Based on this, the cost impact of the proposed AD is reduced by \$7,080, from \$100,300 to \$93,220.

None of the above figures take into account the costs involved if operators would have to re-accomplish the work, i.e., ski removal and re-installation.

AD 80-10-01 currently requires most of the same actions on the affected airplanes that are required by this AD. The only differences between this AD and AD 80-10-01 are the addition of Cessna Model 180K airplanes to the applicability and the requirements for re-marking the airspeed indicator and placing an AFM supplement in the cockpit. Fabricating and installing the placard, placing the AFM supplement in the cockpit, and re-marking the airspeed indicator (provided the indicator is re-marked by painting the outside of the glass) can be accomplished by:

For airplanes operated in accordance with part 91 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 91): An owner/operator who holds at least a private pilot's certificate; and

For airplanes operated in accordance with part 135 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 135): An operator who holds an operating certificate issued under part 135 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 135), as authorized by sections 43.3, 43.7, and 43.9 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.3, 43.7, and 43.9).

The only cost impact upon the public for airplanes other than the affected Cessna Model 180K airplanes is the time it will take the affected airplane owners/operators to incorporate these actions. Therefore, this AD has no additional cost impact over that already required by AD 80-10-01, except for the costs associated with the affected Cessna Model 180K airplanes.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption "ADDRESSES".

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39 - AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows: Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD)80-10-01, Amendment 39-3762, and by adding a new AD to read as follows:

▼Regulatory Information

98-23-02 CESSNA AIRCRAFT COMPANY: Amendment 39-10865; Docket No. 97-CE-138-AD; Supersedes AD 80-10-01, Amendment 39-3762 which superseded Airglas AD 71-11-06 Amendment 39-1218 (36 FR 9860).

Applicability: The following airplane models, all serial numbers; certificated in any category, that have either **Airglas** Engineering Company, Inc., (AECI) Model LW3600-180 (single position) or Model LW3600-180A (two position) fixed penetration wheel **skis** installed in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) SA213AL:

Models				
180	180D	180H	185A	185E
180A	180E	180J	185B	A185E
180B	180F	180K	185C	A185F
180C	180G	185	185D	

NOTE 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (g) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 50 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent one or both wheel skis from rotating into a nose-down position during

flight, which could result in loss of control of the airplane and/or possible airplane damage during flight or landing operations, accomplish the following:

- (a) Modify the wheel ski bungee assemblies, safety cables, and check cables, and their attachments to the airplane and the **skis**, in accordance with **Airglas** Engineering Company, Inc. (AECI) Drawing No. LW3600-180A-1 and -2, Revision "B", dated September 21, 1979; AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-3, Revision "A", dated April 30, 1979; and AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180, Revision "F", dated September 21, 1979 (for single position wheel ski installations) or AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A, Revision "E", dated September 21, 1979 (for two position wheel ski installations).
- NOTE 2: AECI Service Bulletin (SB) No. LW3600-3, originally issued: September 21, 1979; Amended: October 10, 1997, specifies following the procedures provided in the drawings referenced in paragraph (a) of this AD.
- (b) Fabricate a placard using letters at least 1/8-inch in height and install this placard adjacent to the airspeed indicator, in accordance with AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-11, originally issued: September 21, 1979, and AECI SB No. LW3600-3, originally issued: September 21, 1979; Amended: October 10, 1997.
- (c) Re-mark the airspeed indicator to display the never exceed airspeed (160 knots indicated airspeed (KIAS)) and the maximum structural cruising speed (139 KIAS) with **skis** installed, in accordance with AECI SB No. LW3600-3, originally issued: September 21, 1979; Amended: October 10, 1997.
- (d) Place AECI Document AE97-13FM, "Supplemental Airplane Flight Manual and Airplane Flight Manual Supplement", dated October 10, 1997, in the airplane cockpit, in accordance with AECI SB No. LW3600-3, originally issued: September 21, 1979; Amended: October 10, 1997.
- (e) The placard, airspeed indicator re-marking (provided the indicator is re-marked by painting the outside of the glass), and AFM supplement placement requirements of paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this AD, respectively, can be accomplished by:
- (1) For airplanes operated in accordance with part 91 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 91). An owner/operator who holds at least a private pilot's certificate; and
- (2) For airplanes operated in accordance with part 135 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 135): An operator who holds an operating certificate issued under part 135 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 135), as authorized by sections 43.3, 43.7, and 43.9 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.3, 43.7, and 43.9).
- (f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be

accomplished.

- (g) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Anchorage Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 222 West 7th Avenue, #14, Room 128, Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7587.
- (1) The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Anchorage ACO.
- (2) Alternative methods of compliance approved for AD 80-10-01 are not considered approved as alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

NOTE 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Anchorage ACO.

- (h) The modifications, placard installation, airspeed indicator re-marking, and AFM supplement placement required by this AD shall be done in accordance with AECI SB No. LW3600-3, originally issued: September 21, 1979; Amended: October 10, 1997; AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-1 and -2, Revision "B", dated September 21, 1979; AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-3, Revision "A", dated April 30, 1979; AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180, Revision "F", dated September 21, 1979 (for single position wheel ski installations) or AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A, Revision "E", dated September 21, 1979 (for two position wheel ski installations), AECI Drawing No. LW3600-180A-11, originally issued: September 21, 1979; and AECI Document AE97-13FM, "Supplemental Airplane Flight Manual and Airplane Flight Manual Supplement", dated October 10, 1997. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airglas Engineering Company, Inc., P.O. Box 190107, Anchorage, Alaska 99519-0107. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.
- (i) This amendment supersedes AD 80-10-01, Amendment 39-3762.
- (j) This amendment becomes effective on December 22, 1998.

▼Footer Information

▼Comments

FAA.gov Home | Privacy Policy | Web Policies & Notices | Contact Us | Help

Readers & Viewers: PDF Reader | MS Word Viewer | MS PowerPoint Viewer | MS Excel Viewer | WinZip

